



CWoW Conceptual & Evaluative User Research Highlights

DaVita MCoE User Research Team

August 7-9, 2017

Chicago, IL

Dara Cunningham, PhD²

Sara Nagy

Amanda Phillips

Goals, Methods, & Participants

What Was Tested

- Diet Order (Evaluative Testing)
- Expiring Certifications (Conceptual Testing)
- Disputed Order and Justification Clarification (Evaluative Testing)
- Flowsheet (Evaluative Testing)
- Patient Details/Clinical Details (Evaluative Testing)

Goals and Objectives

The objectives for the August 7-9th 2017 CWoW User Research were to:

Diet Order

Prototype: <https://davita.invisionapp.com/d/main#/projects/11639825>

- Ensure Teammates understand which weight they are documenting (not target weight)
- See if the labels and placement of fields make sense to Teammates
- See if Teammates understand how to add a modification
- See if the options under modifications are the right options
- Assess whether Teammates understand how to discard and save the modifications

Expiring Certifications

(See Options A, B & C below)

- Assess what verbiage for notifications made the most sense to Teammates
- Find out how often Teammates would like to be notified of expiring credentials/licenses

Disputed Order and Justification Clarification

Prototype: <https://davita.invisionapp.com/share/BQC77KI9W>

- Ensure Teammates understand how to dispute an order
- See if Teammates understand the difference between refuting and disputing an order
- Understand the value of the Read Only view to Teammates for disputes
- Find out if Teammates understand how to edit a justification clarification
- See if Teammates understand how to submit a clarification
- Assess if the justification clarification summary contains the necessary information
- See if Teammates need to view the full order

Flowsheet

Prototype: <https://davita.invisionapp.com/d/main/#/console/11603206/245024353/preview>

- Ensure the functionality (calculations) and layout of the flowsheet makes sense to Teammates
- Ensure Teammates understand how to edit the Flowsheet
- See what information Teammates want to see in the Read-Only view

Patient Details/Clinical Details

Prototype: <https://davita.invisionapp.com/d/main#/projects/11678057>

- Ensure Teammates understand how to view, enter and edit Height and Weight histories
- See if Teammates understand the Error/Validation Messages
- See if Teammate know to go to View/Edit History to edit and mark entries as “Entered in Error”

Methods

Conceptual User Research is an informal testing method where researchers place simple low fidelity prototypes, or written or verbal concepts, in front of potential users and ask them to quickly assess whether or not the prototypes or concepts make sense.

Usability testing is a type of Evaluative User Research that gives insight into how easy or difficult a product is to use by testing it with real or potential users. Users are asked to complete tasks while they are being observed and moderated by a researcher to see where they encounter problems and experience confusion. The researchers visited three clinics over two days and spent 30-60 minutes with each of the 13 test participants.

User Research Participants by Role

3 RDs, 2 RNs, 1 PD/RN, 1 FA/RN, 1 AA, 1 SW, 1 FA, 3 PCTs

Clinics Visited

Little Village Dialysis Clinic (Chicago)

Logan Square Dialysis Clinic (Chicago)

TRC Children’s Dialysis Center (Chicago)

Highlights

Diet Order

(Tested by: 3 RDs, 1 RD/RN, 1 FA/RN)

What went well

- 100% of participants understood which weight they were documenting and how to enter the weight (standard vs. adjusted)
- 100% of participants thought the layout was clear and easy to read
- 100% of participants understood how to add and save a modification
- 100% of Teammates said that diet modification headings made sense
- 100% knew how to submit the diet order either through selecting Preview first then Submit or Submit only

What could be improved

- 100% of Teammates understood that “No Restrictions” meant that a patient could eat and drink as much as they wanted
 - Several Teammates emphasized that they would never tell someone that they could drink as much as they wanted or have as much sodium as they wanted
- Additional headings for modifications suggested included more textures such as Nectar Thin and Honey Thick textures and Food Allergies (e.g., soy, nuts, etc.)
- 60% of participants thought “Discarding Diet Modifications” didn’t make sense or was odd and would rather check or uncheck everything and “Save” instead

Insights/Observations

- One participant would like a free text option under nutrition/diet modification needs
- Standard body weight is something dietitians assess based on small, medium, or large body frames
 - This should in the form and preferably next to the standard body weight section

“I personally would be wary of putting this (no restrictions) in a diet order. I would make a note that the patient is on a liberalized diet at this time. I wouldn’t feel comfortable with marking ANYTHING with no restrictions.” - RD



LIST



Bowman, Jackson T

52 | 04/16/1965 | Male
MPI# 098765432

Patient Details

Orders

Goals

Tasks

Appointments

Timeline

Diet Order

Weight used for calculations

Standard Body Weight Adjusted Body Weight

Enter Value kg

Calories

Select kcal/kg

No Restrictions

Protein

Select gm/kg

No Restrictions

Fluid

Enter Value ml/day

No Restrictions

Sodium

Enter Value mg/day

No Restrictions

Phosphorus

Enter Value mg/day

No Restrictions

Potassium

Enter Value mg/day

No Restrictions

Diet Modifications (optional)

[+ Add Modification/Restriction](#)

Additional Comments (optional)

1000 characters left

Order Source

Select

Provider

Select

Start Date

mm/dd/yyyy

End Date (optional)

mm/dd/yyyy

Cancel

Preview

Submit

Patients ▾ Ocala Regional Kidney Centers Home Dialysis Division (02196) ▾ C. T. Armstrong, RN

Bowman, Jackson T
 52 | 04/16/1965 | Male
 MPI# 098765432

Patient Details ▾
 Orders
 Goals
 Tasks
 Appointments
 Timeline

Diet Order

Diet Modifications/Restrictions

Dietary Modifications

Calories decreased for weight loss
 Calories increased for weight gain
 Carb controlled
 Fat controlled

Additional Modifications/Restrictions

Calcium controlled
 Gluten free
 Lactose free
 Low purine
 Tube feeding
 NPO
[+ Add New Item](#)

Texture Modifications

Texture: Mechanical soft
 Texture: Pureed
 Texture: Thickened liquid

Fluid
 ml/day
 No Restrictions

Potassium
 mg/day
 No Restrictions

Select ▾ Select ▾

Start Date: End Date (optional):

Expiring Certifications

(Tested by: 1 RD, 1 SW, 1 FA/RN, 1 PCT, 1 RN)

What went well

- Participants preferred the verbiage in Option C, stating it provided the most details including when their license/certification is expiring, who to call for help, and the necessary contact information

What could be improved

- N/A

Insights/Observations

- Participants had mixed opinions about how often they wanted to be notified
 - 100% of participants did NOT want to be notified daily
 - 20% of participants wanted to only be notified twice
 - 20% wanted to be notified at 60 days, again at 30 days, and then two weeks before
 - 20% said every other day would be OK
 - 20% preferred getting notified two weeks before expiration
- 100% of participants would reach out to their FA for help if they needed it
 - The FA would direct them to the right place
 - They do not deal with a help desk
- One participant said in Illinois they get the notice in the mail from the state and can renew online
 - She would contact state licensing first before the FA

“60 days is OK. That is what BONENT is doing, they send out a letter two months before it is supposed to expire so you have time.” –PCT

“Every other day until you do something about it would be OK.”
–SW

Expiring Certifications Test

Please be aware that your **(State) Registered Nurse License** will expire on xx/xx/xxxx. You have 60 (*Count down each day message appears: 59, 58, 57, etc*) days to renew. Please contact LicensePartners@davita.com once you have renewed and received your new license.

(At 1-day left, the message should read:)

EXPIRES TOMORROW:

- A. Please be aware that your **(State) Registered Nurse License** expires tomorrow xx/xx/xxxx. Please renew immediately to prevent losing access to the system.

- B. Please be aware that your (State and/or National) PCT Certification (or RN license, etc) expires tomorrow xx/xx/xxxx. Your access to the system will be denied. Please contact your FA.

- C. Please be aware that your (State and/or National) (PCT/RN/LPN) Certification/Licensure expires tomorrow xx/xx/xxxx. After 11:59 pm on xx/xx/xxxx your access as a "PCT/RN/LPN" to the CWOW application will be disabled. Please contact your FA and License Partners to submit a copy of your credentials. License Partners can be reached at 1-866-635-1311 or licensepartners@davita.com

Disputed Orders and Justification Clarification

(Tested by: 1 FA, 3RDs, 1 FA/RN)

What went well

- 80% of participants understood how to dispute an order
- 100% liked having a Read-Only view for disputed orders to refer to
- 60% of Teammates understood how to edit a justification clarification and thought the process made sense
- 100% of Teammates understood how to submit a clarification, but one participant would like to see the order being removed so that it is clear

What could be improved

- 60% of participants thought there could be a better term for refute
 - It meant one thing to an FA and another to an RD
- 20% of participants want the Read-Only view to be condensed
- The justification summary information could be improved
 - One Teammate couldn't tell what needed to be clarified
 - Another Teammate said she would only want to see special circumstances in the summary because ESRD should be the default and automatic

Insights/Observations

- Consider the term "Reject Dispute" as an alternate to "Refute Dispute"

"Does refute dispute mean accepting the patient? Is that what it means? Sorry I have a bad vocabulary. Maybe reject dispute is better ...?" -RD

"[Teammate looking at Read-Only view] I just need to see medication, who ordered it, the primary doctor... It gets right to the point. Seems like a lot of stuff to look at." -FA

"In Snappy, some things never go away, even after submitting or deleting." -FA



Bowman, Jackson T

52 | 04/16/1965 | Male
MPI# 098765432

- Patient Details
- Orders
- Goals
- Tasks**
- Appointments
- Timeline

Task Details

Due today
MAY 08, 2017

Order Justification Clarification

Active Order ID 98991234

09/28/16 -
Hemodialysis, 3x/wk
Kaplan, M. MD
00234 - Whitebridge Dialysis

[View Full Order](#)

Justification Clarification

Reason for Clarification	Requested By
[Reason for the clarification]	Lastlongname, Firstlongname M. RN (123456)

Edit Justification

End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) (M18.9) [Edit](#)

Ankle, Left, Redness/Erythema, Swelling, Pressures, Stage 1, Due to Diabetes, Post-Operative Complications, Hospitalization

[+ Add Modification/Restriction](#)

Submit Changes

Flowsheet

(Tested by: 1 FA/RN, 1 RN, 3 PCTs)

What went well

- 100% of participants knew how to enter a Flowsheet/Vital services by selecting “Add an Event”

What could be improved

- 80% of participants said the layout didn’t exactly match their current flowsheet but once they learned the new one it would be easy to navigate
 - One participant did not like scrolling through such a long form
- Consider moving the section for Weight to the top in a pre-treatment area/section so it matches what the Flowsheet looks like now
- A suggestion was made to add a comments/notes feature to the pre- and post- weights (e.g., to add why there are no vitals entered such as patient refusal, or patient in wheelchair)
- 40% of participants would like changes to Previous Treatment Vitals including: standing first then sitting for “pre,” and seating first and standing for “post”
 - One participant wanted to know where temperature for “pre” and “post” treatment was in the section
- The term “Actual Removed” was confusing for the pediatric clinic
 - Teammates did not know if it meant that the post-weight was subtracted from the pre-weight or something else
 - One Teammate stated she can pull that number straight from the machine
- Entering “Prescribed Access” was confusing for 20% of participants

Insights/Observations

- Add an open text field for notes like Snappy currently does
 - Fields should match current flowsheet
- One participant suggested Machine Area should have a dropdown, not free text
- Consider using the term Reconcile Flowsheet and Reconcile Event over Add a Flowsheet and Add an Event
 - That is what is in Snappy now
- Several participants said the flowsheet had too many pages to scroll through
 - What they do now is very concise
- Consider using Primary and Secondary Access as the terms over Prescribed Access
 - Once you enter secondary it should automatically reveal more choices
- There was concern about what to do if there is a power outage when entering vitals

“Is entering a flowsheet the same as generating a flowsheet? I would normally reconcile an event. That’s what I do in Snappy. It would be nice to get close to what the terminologies are.” –FA

“At first you would get lost. You would think the format would be just like the paper. But, it is easy to navigate and play around with.” –FA

Patients Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) User Name Profiles & Certificates

List **Brown, Emmett L.** Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY MPI 123456789

Patient Details Month Treatment Events + Add Event

July 2017

Date	Event
07/30/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/28/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/25/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/23/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/21/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/18/2017	Missed Treatment
07/15/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/13/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/11/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/09/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/06/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/05/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services
07/02/2017	Flowsheet Vitals/Services

Patient Profile
 Clinical Details
 Care Providers
 Treatment Schedule
 Allergies
 Access Management
 Contacts
 Insurance
Treatment Events
 Orders <
 Tasks <
 Goals <
 Appointments <
 Timeline <

Patients
Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) ▾
User Name
Profiles & Certificates ▾

Brown, Emmett L.
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789

Patient Details ▾

Patient Profile

Treatment Events

Orders <

Tasks <

Goals <

Appointments <

Timeline <

Flowsheet Vitals/Services

Cancel
Submit

Access

Prescribed Access **AV Fistula - Left (Lower Arm)**

Use Prescribed? Yes No

Previous Treatment Vitals

BLOOD PRESSURE		HEART RATE	
Sitting	160/80 mmHG	Sitting	70
Standing	189/94 mmHG	Standing	84

Pre-Treatment Vitals

BLOOD PRESSURE		HEART RATE	
Sitting	###/### mmHG	Sitting	###
Standing	###/### mmHG	Standing	###

Post-Treatment Vitals

BLOOD PRESSURE		HEART RATE	
Sitting	###/### mmHG	Sitting	###
Standing	###/### mmHG	Standing	###

Weights

Previous Treatment	87.00 kg	Target Weight	###.###
Pre-Treatment	###.### kg	Post-Treatment	###.### kg
Weight Gain	###.###	Removal Goal	###.### kg
Actual Removed	###.### kg		

Treatment Time

Time On	##:##	Time Off	##:##
Actual Run Time	##:##	Prescribed	04:00:00

Patient Details/Clinical Details

(Tested by: 1 FA, 1 PD/RN, 1 RN, 1 FA/RN, 1 AA)

What went well

- 100% of participants knew how to view and enter Height and Weight histories
 - 40% of participants would edit in the field first
 - 60% knew to go to “Edit”
- 100% of participants understood and liked seeing Error/Validation messages stating it is a nice precaution

What could be improved

- The process of marking something in error was not what participants expected
 - It proved confusing for 80% of participants
 - Teammates did not like having to choose edit twice to mark something in error since they are already in edit mode
 - Several Teammates said it seems like double work
- 20% of participants couldn't find the edit button for “Amputation Status” suggesting it should be inline making it easier to find
- Participants want to be able to mark something in error differently: either right in the field or be able to tap a button to enter something in error that takes you to a modal/pop-up instead of selecting “Edit”


Insights/Observations

- For pediatric modality, consider allowing FA to set a range for weight and height for when they would get error messages because otherwise they would get them every time
- Make the processes for marking something in error for height and weight histories *AND* amputation status consistent
- Specify whether value is in inches or centimeters and consider adding a convertor from inches to centimeters
- Teammates found it helpful to have a line through items “Entered in Error”


“If I make a mistake I want to change it right away!” - RN

“Ok, there is a different process for the height and weight vs amputation. This really needs to be consistent.” - RN





Patients
Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) ▾
User Name
Profiles & Certificates ▾



List



Brown, Emmett L.
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789

Patient Details ▾

- Patient Profile
- Clinical Details
- Care Providers
- Treatment Schedule
- Allergies
- Access Management
- Contacts
- Insurance

Orders <

Tasks <

Goals <

Appointments <

Timeline <

Clinical Details

Cancel
Save

Greyed out categories must be edited through Reggie.

Dialysis Information

Primary Nephrologist	Fry, Phillip J., MD	Primary Diagnosis	ESRD
Modality Status	ICHD		

Health Status

Diabetic Status Non-Diabetic ▾

Height & Weight Metrics

Current Height	108.00	inches	BSA	N/A
This is not a valid height entry.				
Current Target Weight	80.01 kg		BMI	15.60

Amputation Status

Extremity	Type	Year	Month (optional)	Day (optional)
<input type="checkbox"/> Arm - Right	-	▾	-	▾
<input type="checkbox"/> Arm - Left	-	▾	-	▾
<input type="checkbox"/> Leg - Right	-	▾	-	▾
<input type="checkbox"/> Leg - Left	-	▾	-	▾

Patients Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) User Name Profiles & Certificates

List **Brown, Emmett L.**
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789

Patient Details **Clinical Details** [Edit](#)

Patient Profile
Clinical Details
Care Providers
Treatment Schedule
Allergies
Access Management
Contacts
Insurance
Orders
Tasks
Goals
Appointments
Timeline

Clinical Details

Dialysis Information

Primary Nephrologist Fry, Phillip J., MD Primary Diagnosis ESRD
Modality Status ICHD

Health Status [View History](#)

Diabetic Status Non-Diabetic

Height & Weight Metrics [View / Edit History](#)

Current Height	90.00 in / 228.60 cm	BSA	2.24
Current Target Weight	80.01 kg	BMI	15.60

Amputation Status [View / Edit History](#)

Extremity	Type	Year	Month	Day
Arm - Right	All Fingers	2015	March	-

Adjustment Factor 0.0

Patients Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) ▾ User Name ▾
Profiles & Certificates

Brown, Emmett L.
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789

Height & Weight Metric History Edit

Height

Date	Imperial	Metric
07/29/2017 (Current)	90.00 in	228.60 cm

Target Weight

Date	Metric
07/24/2017 (Current)	80.01 kg
06/29/2017	80.01 kg
06/01/2017	80.01 kg

Navigation: Patient Details (dropdown), Patient Profile, Clinical Details (selected), Care Providers, Treatment Schedule, Allergies, Access Management, Contacts, Insurance, Orders (<), Tasks (<), Goals (<), Appointments (<), Timeline (<)

Patients Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) ▾ User Name ▾
Profiles & Certificates

Brown, Emmett L.
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789

Height Metric History Edit

Height

Date	Imperial	Metric	
07/29/2017 (Current)	70.00 in	177.80 cm	Corrected 07/31/2017
07/29/2017	90.00 in	228.60 cm	Entered in Error








Target Weight

Date	Metric
07/24/2017 (Current)	80.01 kg
06/29/2017	80.01 kg
06/01/2017	80.01 kg

Navigation Menu:

- Patient Details ▾
 - Patient Profile
 - Clinical Details**
 - Care Providers
 - Treatment Schedule
 - Allergies
 - Access Management
 - Contacts
 - Insurance
- Orders <
- Tasks <
- Goals <
- Appointments <
- Timeline <

Patients Hill Valley Dialysis (1234) ▾ User Name ▾
Profiles & Certificates

  **Brown, Emmett L.**
Male | 64 | MM/DD/YYYY
MPI 123456789     

Patient Details ▾

- Patient Profile
- Clinical Details**
- Care Providers
- Treatment Schedule
- Allergies
- Access Management
- Contacts
- Insurance

Orders <

Tasks <

Goals <

Appointments <

Timeline <

[<](#) **Height Metric History** Cancel Save

Height

Entered in Error?	Date	Imperial	Metric
<input type="checkbox"/> Mark Error	07/29/2017 (Current)	90.00 in	228.60 cm

Target Weight

Date	Metric
07/24/2017 (Current)	80.01 kg
06/29/2017	80.01 kg
06/01/2017	80.01 kg

Wrap Up Insights

- 7 participants rated what they saw for the CWoW application on a scale from 1-5 with 1 being “I’m not at all satisfied” and 5 being “I’m extremely satisfied”*
 - 14% gave it a 3
 - 57% gave it a 4
 - 29% gave it a 5

“I’m just excited – a lot of people are reluctant to change – but for nurses this will be a lot better. This is the first I have ever heard of CWoW but I am really excited!” – RN

“I wouldn’t introduce a lot of new terminology. It is nice to have something similar. There are some good things about Snappy – it is concise and you can see everything right there, not having to scroll through a lot.” – FA

**One clinic is sending their responses on paper and we haven’t received them yet*